	Case 2:06-cv-00004-RSM	Document 16	Filed 06/20/06	Page 1 of 2
01				
02				
03				
04				
05				
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
08	REGINALD BELL,		SE NO. C06-0004-	DSM
) CA,	3E NO. C00-0004-	KSIVI
09	Plaintiff,)	DODE AND DEGO	
10	V.) REI)	PORT AND RECC	OMMENDATION
11	DENNIS LEE, et al.)		
12	Defendants.)		
13				
14	In January 2006, plaintiff Reginald Bell presented to this Court for review a series of			
15	documents indicating his intent to pursue a civil rights action in this Court. Among the documents			
16	submitted by plaintiff were a document entitled "Complaint," and a document entitled "Form to			
17	be Used by a Prisoner in Filing a Complaint Under the Civil Rights Act 42 U.S.C. § 1983." Both			
18	documents identified defendants and set forth claims for relief.			
19	On February 27, 2006, this Court issued an Order in which it advised plaintiff that because			
20	he had presented multiple pleadings in this action, and because his claims were confusing, the			
21	Court would not order service of the complaint on defendants. The Court further advised plaintiff			
22	that if he wished to pursue this action, he must first file a single complaint which clearly and			
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1)N		

Case 2:06-cv-00004-RSM Document 16 Filed 06/20/06 Page 2 of 2

concisely set forth his constitutional claims and the facts supporting those claims. Plaintiff was granted thirty days within which to file his amended complaint, and was advised that his failure timely file an amended complaint would result in a recommendation from this Court that the instant action be dismissed, with prejudice, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Plaintiff subsequently moved for an extension of time to file his amended complaint. (Dkt. No. 14.) The Court granted plaintiff's motion and directed him to file his amended complaint not later than May 15, 2006. (Dkt. No. 15.) To date plaintiff has filed no amended complaint. Because plaintiff has not timely filed an amended complaint which clearly and concisely sets forth his claims for relief, this Court recommends that this action be dismissed, with prejudice, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

DATED this 20th day of June, 2006.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -2